

Modelling frameworks for the NE Pacific and how we integrate stakeholders into our decision making framework, including MSEs



ANDRÉ E. PUNT UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON 14 DECEMBER 2017



Outline

- Federal Management of USA NE Pacific Fisheries.
- Multispecies models
 - USA West Coast
 - Alaska
- Involving stakeholders (Sardine case study)



National Standard 1

Conservation and management measures shall prevent overfishing while achieving, on a continuing basis, the optimum yield from each fishery for the United States fishing industry.

National Standard 8

Conservation and management measures shall, consistent with the conservation requirements of this Act take into account the importance of fishery resources to fishing communities by utilizing economic and social data that meet the requirement of National Standard 2, in order to (a) provide for the sustained participation of such communities, and (b) to the extent practicable, minimize adverse economic impacts on such communities.



USA West Coast



Tasks for multispecies / ecosystem models

- Determine cumulative impacts of single-species management rules (Atlantis)
- Evaluate consequences of the harvest control rule for Pacific sardine in terms of catch and stock risk, and for place-based predators (Ecopath, Atlantis, MICE, etc.)

Recent use of Management Strategy Evaluation approaches:

- Sacramento River winter Chinook salmon
- Pacific sardine
- Pacific hake
- Trawl-caught flatfish (for the Marine Stewardship Council certification)

Pacific Sardine (management and modelling)

6000

5000

3000

Biomass (1000 metric tons)

Peak catch over ~700,000t (largest fishery in the western hemisphere in the 1930s and 1940s), but collapsed in the 1940s and 1950s.

Specific challenges:

- Multinational fishery:
 - US, Mexico, Canada
- Time-varying migration
- Multiple fisheries in the US (Southern California, Central California, Pacific Northwest)
- Environmental-related variation in biomass
- Place-based predator dependence



Pacific Sardine: Management Process



Pacific Sardine (alternative models)

- Ecopath (specifications for key linkages among ecosystem components).
- The PREP equation (approximates the outcomes from Ecopath).
- MICE (Model of Intermediate Complexity for Ecosystem Assessment)
- Atlantis

Each model has advantages and disadvantages.

- Taxonomic and spatio-temporal resolution
- Ability to fit the model to data and explore sensitivity to assumptions
- How management is represented.



The California Current MICE



Reproductive success / survival depends on prey abundance.

MSEs and Stakeholders

The primary avenue for input into MSEs is through the Pacific Fishery Management Council Process.

- Scientific and Statistical Committee:
 - Provides peer-review for MSE analyses
 - Selects the OFL control rule component of the management system.
- Advisory subpanels and the Council
 - Provide (formal) stakeholder input into decision making

"Thus, of the Control Rules in the SRWCW report, CR 5 is our preferred alternative, offering what seems to the SAS, the appropriate balance of protection and opportunity, followed by CR 4. "

• The general public



Stakeholder fatigue?

- A disaster where people have had enough with boring meetings
- A victory that you have achieved some form of buy-in?





SALMON ADVISORY SUBPANEL

Аткіnson, Mr. John California Charter Boat

BITTS, MR. DAVE California Troll

FRANK, MR. CALVIN Washington Tribes

HEAP, MR. RICHARD Vice Chair Oregon Sport Fisheries

HEIKKILA, MR. PAUL Oregon Troll

HIE, MR. JIM Conservation

JOHNSON, MR. GREG Commercial Gillnet

KAUTSKY, MR. GEORGE California Tribes

OLSON, MR. JIM Washington Troll

REINHOLDT, MR. GERALD K. Processor

SCULLY, DR. RICHARD Idaho Sport Fisheries

SMITH, MR. BUTCH Chair Washington Charter Boat

SORENSEN, MR. MIKE Oregon Charter Boat

WATROUS, MR. STEVE Washington Sport Fisheries

YARNALL, MR. JIM California Sport Fisheries Golden Gate Fisherman's Association 42 Seawolf Passage Corte Madera, CA 94925

PCFFA 2679 Sunnygrove McKinleyville, CA 95519

Quinault Indian Nation PO Box 373 Bay Center, WA 98527

95975 N. Brookside Drive Brookings, OR 97415

786 S. 1st Ave. Coquille, OR 97423

Pacific Marine Conservation Council 1423 Vista Ave Napa, CA 94559

12400 NE 8th Court Vancouver, WA 98685

Hoopa Valley Tribal Fisheries PO Box 417 Hoopa, CA 95546

F/V Cynthia T PO Box 586 Auburn, WA 98071-0586

Reinholdt Fisheries 62313 S Canaan Rd St. Helens, OR 97051-9117

2428 Seaport Drive Lewiston, ID 83501

Co-Ho Charters PO Box 268 Ilwaco, WA 98624

603 Camp 12 Lp Toledo, OR 97391

18113 NE Cramer Road Battle Ground, WA 98604

California Sport Fisheries 6308 Eggert Road Eureka, CA 95503 Telephone: 415-924-6851 Email: newrayann@comcast.net

Telephone: 707-498-3512 Email: dbitts@suddenlink.net

Telephone: 360-942-7809 Email: calvin.frankcf@gmail.com

Telephone: 541-469-7111 Email: fiskare@charter.net

Telephone: 541-396-3096 Email: heikkilakay@gmail.com

Telephone: 707-695-8661 Email: jnahie@att.net

Telephone: 360-600-0034 Email: 4jsalmon1@comcast.net

Telephone: 530-625-4267 ext. 15 Email: hupafish@hoopa-nsn.gov

Telephone: 253-833-8739 Email: Jaocto@juno.com

Telephone: 503-397-3369 Email: reinholdtfish@gmail.com

Telephone: 208-241-4095 Email: scullyrjs@cableone.net

Telephone: 360-642-3333 Email: coho@willapabay.org

Telephone: 541-444-2552 Email: fvmissraven@hotmail.com

Telephone: 360-607-7133 Email: branchoffice96@gmail.com

Telephone: 707-443-2496 Email: jimyarnall@gmail.com

USA North Pacific (Eastern Bering Sea)

Multispecies models:

- Ecopath / Ecosim
- MSMt / CEATTLE
- FEAST
- Technical interactions model

MSE Approaches

- Pollock
- Snow crab





10K ROMS-NPZD-FEAST-FAMINE

Full end-to-end model that is implemented in ROMS (and on multiple cores), which links specifically-developed models, including models on which assessments are conducted and the associated harvest control rules.



Overall trends and conclusions-I

Both regions (and to a lesser extent to the Gulf of Alaska) have adopted a multi-model approach to providing advice:

- A single-species focus for tactical management advice (setting of Overfishing Levels and Acceptable Biological Catches).
- Use of a mass-balance model to understand the structure of the ecosystem and help identify which species to include in MICE-like models.
- Use of MICE-like models (of which CEATTLE is one) as expanded stock assessments (few species, but fitted to data / many sensitivity tests).
- □ End-to-End models (Atlantis / FEAST) to provide strategic advice.

Overall trends and conclusions-II

- MSE is considered state-of- the art for testing harvest control rules / checking harvest control rules perform adequately.
- "Management Procedures" ("clockwork management") are not / cannot be adopted easily as each management decision needs peer-review.
- □ There is a strong focus on climate and predation in MSE work.
- Technical review of MSE is through Fishery Management Council Scientific and Statistical Committees (perhaps augmented by outside experts).
- Input into MSE (which uncertainties to consider; which performance metrics to report; which strategies to evaluation) are a decision by the Council, but with input from formal Advisory Bodies / the General Public.



Questions?

1. A dall